For:

PATENT Atty. Dkt. No. 03DV-7089

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Dennis P. Bobay et al.

Art Unit: 2834

Serial No.: 09/681,544

Examiner: K. Addison

Filed: April 26, 2001

METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR MOUNTING

A ROTOR POSITION

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY EXPRESS MAIL TO THE COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Express Mail mailing label number: **EV263880350US**

Date of Mailing: February 28, 2003

I certify that documents listed below:

- Amendment in Response to Office Action dated January 29, 2002 (2 pgs.)
- Amendment Transmittal (3 pgs. in duplicate)
- Certificate of Mailing Via Express Mail (1 page)
- Return postcard

are being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 C.F.R. §1.10 on the date indicated above in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, Box Non Fee Amendment, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Robert B. Reser, III, Reg. No.: 45,548

Armstrong Teasdale LLP

One Metropolitan Square, Suite 2600

St. Louis, MO 63102

314-621-5070



PATENT

Attorney Docket No.: 03DV-7089

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Dennis P. Bobay et al.

Art Unit: 2834

Serial No.: 09/681,544

Examiner: K. Addison

Filed: April 26, 2001

i ned. 7 pm 20, 2001

For:

METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR MOUNTING

A ROTOR POSITION

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

TRANSMITTAL

1. Transmitted herewith is: Amendment in response to Restriction Requirement dates. January 29, 2002 (2 pgs.); Certificate of Express Mail (1 pg.); and Return postcard

STATUS

2. Applicant

claims small entity status.

is other than a small entity.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being:

MAILING

✓ deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as Express Mail, in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231

Date: February 28, 2003

FACSIMILE

transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark

Office

Robert B. Reeser, I

Reg No. 45,54

FEE FOR CLAIMS

	(Col. 1)		(Col. 2)	(Col. 3)	SMALL ENTITY	OTHER THAN SMALL ENTITY	
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA	ADDITIONAL. RATE FEE	OR	ADDITIONAL RATE FEE
TOTAL		MINUS		=	x \$9 = \$		x \$18 = \$
INDEP.		MINUS		=	x \$42 = \$		x \$84 = \$
	FIRST PRESEN	TATION OF	MULTIPLE DEP.	CLAIM	+\$130 = \$		+ \$280 = \$
					TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE \$	OR	TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE \$
	(a)	No add	itional fee fo	r Claims is	required		
				OR			
	(b)	Total a	dditional fee	for claims	required \$		
			FEE :	PAYMEN'	\mathbf{T}		
5.	Attached is a check in the sum of \$						
		_	t Account No this transmit				
			FEE D	EFICIEN	CY		
6.	✓ If any additional extension and/or fee is required, charge Deposit Account No. 01-2384.						
	AND/OR						
	✓ If any 2384.	addition	al fee for clai	ms is requi	ired, charge Deposi	it Acc	ount No. 01-
7.	Other:			Reg	pert B Reeser, III 3. No. 45,548		
					MSTRONG TEAS		
				One	Metropolitan Squa	are, S	uite 2600

St. Louis, MO 63102 314/621-5070

Art Unit: 2834

Examiner: K. Addison

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Dennis P. Bobay et al.

Serial No.: 09/681,544

Filed: April 26, 2001

For: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR MOUNTING

A ROTOR POSITION

AMENDMENT

Hon. Commissioner for Patents Box Non-Fee Amendment Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

The Office Action mailed January 29, 2002 has been carefully reviewed and the following amendment has been made in consequence thereof.

Reconsideration of the restriction requirement imposed under 35 U.S.C. § 121 is respectfully requested.

A restriction to either invention I, consisting of claims 1-10 drawn to the method of positioning a sensor, classified in Class 29/598, invention II, consisting of claims 11-18, drawn to a stator assembly, classified in class 310, subclass 254, or invention III, consisting of claims 19-24, drawn to a rotor position sensor, classified in class 310, subclass 68 was imposed. In response, Applicants confirm the election with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-10.

The requirement for election is traversed because the inventions set out by the claims in Groups I, II, and III are clearly related. Applicants submit that a thorough search and examination of any Group would be relevant to the examination of the other Groups and would not be a serious burden on the Examiner. Additionally, requirements for election are

15

not mandatory under 35 U.S.C. 121. Accordingly, reconsideration of the election requirement is requested.

Respectfully Submitted,

Robert B. Redser III Registration No. 45,548

ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP
One Metropolitan Square, Suite 2600
St. Louis, Missouri 63102-2740

(314) 621-5070